

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,061			03/12/2004	Takahiko Yamasaki	36549	8441	
	116	7590	11/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	PEARNE 6			LEUNG, PHILIP H			
	1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108					3742		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

T	.لہ
---	-----

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

7,

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/800,061	YAMASAKI ET AL.	YAMASAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Philip H. Leung	3742		

	Philip H. Leung	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wivided below or appended.	Il be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the daims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s). <u>9-26-2005</u>	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Philip H Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742	J.

Application No. 10/800,061

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is persuasive only in regard to the rejaction based on Yoneno in view of Kikuchi. In Enami, although the water tank 5 is not described as steam supply portion, it is submitted that at least some steam is inherently generated. Most importantly, it teaches the claimed location for holding water used for generating steam. Of course, the generating of steam for heating in addition to microwave heating is well known in the art as taught by the primary reference, Yoneno. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Yoneno to locate the water container at the lower back portion of the heating chamber, in view of Enami.

Continuation of 13. Other: The claim status indentifiers for claims 19 and 20 are incorrect.